



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/734,973	12/15/2003	Solomon Semaza		1322	
22227 759	90 02/11/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SPERRY ZOD	A AND KANE	·	JEFFERY	, JOHN A	
SUITE D					
ONE HIGHGATE DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TRENTON, NJ 08618			3742		
			DATE MAILED: 02/11/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/734,973	SEMAZA, SOLOMON		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		John A. Jeffery	3742		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ansions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: TO SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. TO period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the provision	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 December 2004</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)[Claim(s) 3-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 3-9 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
Applicat	ion Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 December 2004</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) accepted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected or abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)[a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage		
A 44	440)				
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infon	the of Preferences Cited (F10-032) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 3-9 are objected to because of the following informalities:

<u>Claim 3</u>: In subparagraph "F," "conducting" must be changed to "conductivity." Appropriate correction is required.

Substitute Specification Filed Under 37 CFR 1.125(b) and (c) Not Entered

The substitute specification filed 12/3/04 has not been entered because it does not conform to 37 CFR 1.125(b) and (c) because:

- the statement as to a lack of new matter under 37 CFR 1.125(b) is missing;
 and
- a marked-up copy of the substitute specification has not been supplied (in addition to the clean copy).

New Matter

The amendment filed 12/3/04 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows:

- (1) The subject matter claimed in clauses "G" and "H" of independent claim 3.
- (2) The structure depicted in newly-added Figs. 11 and 12.

(3) The subject matter claimed in claims 8 and 9.

NOTE: Although the substitute specification has not been entered due to the defects noted above, the examiner has identified the following subject matter in the proposed substitute specification that, if entered, would constitute new matter:

- The entire text of Paragraph 3 of the Detailed Description section (i.e., the description of the slot 84 extending over at least a portion of the surface of each fan blade, resilient brackets 78, etc.).
- The last three sentences of Paragraph 4 of the Detailed Description section (describing the hub's rubber or ceramic insulating liner).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 3-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. For the reasons set forth above, the claims as

Application/Control Number: 10/734,973

Art Unit: 3742

amended contain new matter and thus fail to comply with the written description requirement.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection. The examiner appreciates applicant's attempt to cure the deficiencies the examiner noted in the first office action. The amendments, however, are replete with new matter as noted above. Moreover, the examiner appreciates applicant's submission of the supplemental drawings in the appendix that were not intended for entry, but rather for "aiding the Examiner in understanding the invention." Remarks, at 9.

The supplemental drawings, however, would constitute new matter if entered. Although these new drawings are not absolutely necessary to enable skilled artisans to make and use the invention under 35 USC § 112, they would nevertheless be helpful in assisting the public in understanding the invention if applicant chooses to file a subsequent continuation-in-part application.

Finally, although the claims are finally rejected under 35 USC § 112 for new matter, the claims are otherwise patentably distinguishable over the prior art of record. Even with the new matter removed from newly-added independent claim 3, the scope of the claim is substantially narrowed as compared to canceled claims 1 and 2 to obviate the previous prior art rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/734,973

Art Unit: 3742

Final Rejection

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John A. Jeffery whose telephone number is (571) 272-4781. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 7:00 AM to 4:30 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans, can be reached on (571) 272-4777. All faxes should be sent to the centralized fax number at (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/734,973 Page 6

Art Unit: 3742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOHN A. JEFFERY PRIMARY EXAMINER

2/8/05